LAW OFFICES OF ANDREW L. PACKARD

100 PETALUMA BLVD N, STE 301, PETALUMA, CA 94952
PHONE (707) 763-7227 FAX (707) 763-9227
INFO@PACKARDLAWOFFICES.COM

July 22, 2016

Via Certified Mail

Gina McCarthy, Administrator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20460

Citizen Suit Coordinator
Environment and Natural Resources Division
Law and Policy Section
P.O. Box 7415
Ben Franklin Station
Washington, D.C. 20044-7415

Hon. Eric Holder, Attorney General U.S. Department of Justice Citizen Suit Coordinator 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, Room 2615, NW Washington, DC 20530

Re: Ecological Rights Foundation v City of Lompoc, et al; USDC, CDCA, Case No. 2:15-CV-04448-TJH-SS

Dear Citizen Suit Coordinators,

On or about July 20, 2016 the parties in the above-captioned case agreed to enter into a First Amendment to Consent Judgment resolving a compliance dispute in this matter.

Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 135.5, the enclosed document is being submitted to the United States Environmental Protection Agency and the U.S. Department of Justice for a 45-day review period. If you have any questions regarding the agreement, please feel free to contact me or counsel for Defendant listed below.

Sincerely,

Andrew L. Packard Attorneys for Plaintiff

Ecological Rights Foundation

cc: via First Class Mail:

Jared Blumenfeld, Regional Administrator, EPA Region 9

cc: via e-mail:

Joseph W. Pannone and Stephen R. On:to1:, Counsel for Defendants Laurie Kermish, EPA Region 9

1	ANIDDEW I DACKADD (GAAA Dan No. 1	(0(00)
	ANDREW L. PACKARD (State Bar No. 16 MEGAN E. TRUXILLO (State Bar No. 27)	5746)
2	Law Offices of Andrew L. Packard	57.10)
3	100 Petaluma Blvd. N., Suite 301 Petaluma, CA 94952	
4	Tel: (707) 763-7227	
_	Fax: (707) 763-9227 E-mail: Andrew@packardlawoffices.com	
5	Megan @ packardlawoffices.com	
6	Fredric Evenson (State Bar No. 198059)	
7	ECOLOGY LAW CENTER	
8	P.O. Box 1000 Santa Cruz, CA 95061	
	Tel: (831) 454-8216	
9	Email: Evenson@ecologylaw.com	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION	
11	ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION	
12	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
12	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13	WESTERN DIVISION	
14		
15	ECOLOGICAL RIGHTS FOUNDATION,	Case No. 2:15-cv-04448-TJH-SS
	a non-profit corporation,	
16	Plaintiff,	FIRST AMENDMENT TO CONSENT
17		AGREEMENT
18	VS.	
19	CITY OF LOMPOC, CLAUDIA STINE,	(Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1251 to 1387)
	KEITH QUINLAN, and GALE GREER,	35 0.0.0. 33 1201 to 1501)
20	Defendants.	
21	Defendants.	
22	WHEREAS, Plaintiff ECOLOGICA	AL RIGHTS FOUNDATION (hereinafter "ERF")
23	and Defendant CITY OF LOMPOC (hereinafter "City") entered into a Consent Agreement	
24	resulting in dismissal of the above-captioned action on May 2, 2016; and,	
25	///	
26	///	
27		
	01079,0052/303118.1	
28	FIRST AMENDMENT/CONSENT AGREEMENT	Case No. 2:15-cv-04448-TJH-SS

23

24

25

26

27

28

WHEREAS, subsequent to said dismissal, it became apparent that certain tasks set forth in the Consent Agreement could not reasonably be completed by the applicable deadlines; and,

WHEREAS, Section 29 of the Consent Agreement permits amendment of the Consent Agreement upon written agreement of ERF and the City;

NOW THEREFORE IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED BETWEEN ERF AND THE CITY THAT THE CONSENT AGREEMENT BE AMENDED AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. Section 2(b) of the Consent Agreement is amended to read as follows:
- (b) Feasibility Study for Re-Engineering Retention Basin [and possibly the entire site]. On or before October 1, 2016, the City shall complete a comprehensive feasibility study ("2016 Feasibility Study") to determine [assuming the study is for the basin only whether and how the residence time in the retention basin can be increased to maximize the settling out of suspended solids in storm water discharges to the retention basin, consistent with the terms of the General Permit, including but not limited to the enlargement, reconstruction or relocation of the existing retention basin; the replacement and/or raising of the riser pipe to accommodate the longest retention time/lowest discharge frequency; and a management plan for the scheduled removal of solids from the retention basin to maximize the retention basin's efficiency in removing total suspended solids. The 2016 Feasibility Study shall be provided to ERF and its expert, Matt Hagemann, within five (5) business days of its receipt by City pursuant to the Notice provisions herein. ERF and City shall meet and confer in good faith regarding the sufficiency and content of the 2016 Feasibility Study within thirty (30) days of its provisions to ERF. On or before January 1, 2017, City shall implement the recommendations of the 2016 Feasibility Study (or any other such measures as ERF and City shall agree to in the course of their meet and confer efforts). 111

01079.0052/303118,1

Case No. 2:15-cv-04448-TJH-SS

28

FIRST AMENDMENT/CONSENT AGREEMENT